







Project Governance

Reference <u>Understanding open source governance models</u>

- The rules and customs that define who gets to do what and how they are supposed to do it
- Many projects are so-called "Do-ocracy" governance
- Project autonomy in Linaro is the default and "Do-ocracy" is also largely true for Linaro projects
- Linaro functions an umbrella foundation ("Foundation-backed" model) for governance and has the tools to run projects more formally when required
- Linaro itself works in the collective interests of its members, to run projects sustainably and transparently, and to benefit the wider community

What's triggered the discussion?

https://github.com/Open-CMSIS-Pack/Open-CMSIS-Pack-Spec/issues/119 https://github.com/Open-CMSIS-Pack/devtools/issues/85





Decision Making Mechanism Proposal

- Uses the "Committee" Pattern
- Not building a legal system, just a decision point
- Used if no consensus is reached
- Fit for purpose = makes decisions
- Member representatives vote
- Forcing mechanism to avoid a tie is single casting vote by the chair
- Simple pass-though/rubber stamp if there is consensus

Document here:

https://linaro.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/CMSIS/pages/28717383715/Open-CMSIS-Pack +Decision+Committee+Charter+-+Draft





What Else Changes?

- We need to plumb the decisions valve into the issue flow
- Suggest the technical meeting continues to work as currently but with "For Review" as the new entry point for issues to the decision making body
- Issues need a deadline for discussion
- "New" issues move to a "Scheduled" state where scheduling metadata has been added ...
- Anything else?



Revised Stages of Change Process

<u>(#119</u>)

Issue got created

Date1: Project Meeting

Date2: Decision by

Discussion + Analysis

Ready for decision







